H 400

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MELISSA CUNNINGHAM,

Civil Action No.:

Plaintiff,

18 4583

٧.

DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU SERVICES, INC. and DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

Defendant Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc. ("DTTS") by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby removes this case to this Court from the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania. As grounds for this removal, DTTS alleges the following:

- 1. Plaintiff Melissa Cunningham ("Ms. Cunningham") filed her Amended Complaint on or about October 4, 2018, against DTTS in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, Pennsylvania, styled as *Melissa Cunningham v. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc.*, Case No. 18-7174 ("State Court Action").
- 2. On October 4, 2018, counsel for Plaintiff emailed the Amended Complaint to DTTS' undersigned counsel. (A true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A).
- 3. This Notice of Removal is timely as it is made within thirty (30) days of DTTS' receipt of the complaint, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) in that there is complete diversity of citizenship and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 5. Ms. Cunningham is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania who has made and intends to continue to make Pennsylvania her primary residence for the indefinite future. (Compl. ¶ 1.) Ms. Cunningham is therefore a citizen of Pennsylvania for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 6. DTTS is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of.

 Delaware with its principal place of business in the State of New York. Therefore, DTTS is a citizen of Delaware and New York for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 7. Accordingly, diversity of citizenship, for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1332, existed between Ms. Cunningham and DTTS at the time Ms. Cunningham commenced the State Court Action, and continues to exist as of the time of filing this Notice of Removal.
- 8. DTTS asserts that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Ms. Cunningham specifically alleges that she seeks an amount in excess of \$50,000. (Amended Compl. Wherefore Paragraph.) Ms. Cunningham's requested damages include "back pay, front pay, past lost wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering[.]" (*Id.*) Additionally, Ms. Cunningham seeks attorneys' fees, which must also be taken into account when determining whether the amount in controversy is met. *Suber v. Chrysler Corp.*, 104 F.3d 578, 585 (3d Cir. 1997).
- 9. Accordingly, the grounds for diversity jurisdiction are satisfied, and this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

- 10. By reason of the foregoing, DTTS desires and is entitled to have this case removed from the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 11. This Court is the district and division embracing the place where the State Court Action is pending for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 12. True and correct copies of this Notice of Removal are being promptly filed with the Delaware County Court of Common Pleas, Pennsylvania, and served this date upon Ms. Cunningham's counsel of record.

WHEREFORE, DTTS respectfully requests that this case be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, that this Court accept jurisdiction of this action and that this action be henceforth placed on the docket of the Court for all further proceedings as though the same action had been originally instituted and commenced in this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

Rachel Fendell Satinsky (PA ID #308751) LITTLER MENDELSON 1601 Cherry Street, Suite 1400 Philadelphia, PA 19102.1321 267.402.3000 (t)

267.402.3131 (f)

rsatinsky@littler.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Dated: October 24, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rachel Fendell Satinsky, hereby certify that I caused to be served the foregoing **Notice**of Removal of Action, via email and first class mail, upon the following:

Graham F. Baird, Esq.
Law Offices of Eric A. Shore
Two Penn Center #1240
1500 JFK Boulevard
Philadelphia, PA 19102
Attorney for Plaintiff

In addition, a copy of the foregoing has been filed with the Court and is available for download from the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Rachel Fendell Satinsky
Rachel Fendell Satinsky

Dated: October 24, 2018

EXHIBIT A

Graham F. Baird, Esquire Attorney ID No: 92692

THE LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE

Two Penn Center #1240 1500 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia PA 19102 (267) 546-0131

Attorney for Plaintiff, Melissa Cunningham

Melissa Cunningham	:
102 Kelly Drive	:
Chadds Ford, PA 19317	: COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	: DELAWARE COUNTY
	:
Plaintiff,	: CIVIL ACTION
1 141114111,	
	•
v.	· ·
٧.	: No. 18-7174
	. 140, 16-7174
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc.	•
1 Braxton Way	:
Glen Mills, PA 19342	
A d	:
And	:
D. L. iv. T L. Talamatan Co inc. Inc.	:
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc.	:
30 Rockefeller Plaza	:
New York, NY 10112	:
	:
	:
	:
Defendants	_:

NOTICE TO DEFEND

You have been sued in Court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this Complaint and Notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the Court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and judgment may be entered against you by the Court without further notice for any money claimed in the Complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GOT TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

Delaware County Bar Association

Lawyer Referral and Information Service Front & Lemon Streets Media, PA 19063 Telephone: 610-566-6625 Graham F. Baird, Esquire Attorney ID No: 92692

THE LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE

Two Penn Center #1240 1500 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia PA 19102 (267) 546-0131

Attorney for Plaintiff, Melissa Cunningham

Melissa Cunningham	:
102 Kelly Drive	:
Chadds Ford, PA 19317	: COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	: DELAWARE COUNTY
Disimalife	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: CIVIL ACTION
v.	:
	: No. 18-7174
	:
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc.	:
1 Braxton Way	:
Glen Mills, PA 19342	:
A J	
And	•
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc.	
30 Rockefeller Plaza	•
New York, NY 10112	:
	:
	:
	:
Defendants	_:

FIRST AMENDED CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, Melissa Cunningham (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc. (hereinafter "Deloitte"), is a corporation organized by and operating under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

and having a corporate headquarters and/or principal place of business at the above captioned addresses.

- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendants are acting together, in concert, by and on each other's behalf and qualify as Plaintiff's employer pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA").
- 4. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies pursuant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. (See Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of a "right-to-sue" letter issued by the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission.)
- 5. This action is instituted pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable state law.
- 6. Venue is properly laid in this county because Defendants conduct business in this county, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in Delaware County. Plaintiff was working out of Delaware County office at the time of the illegal actions by Defendants as set forth herein.

II. Operative Facts.

- 7. Plaintiff is a Christian.
- 8. On or about November 16, 2015, Plaintiff was hired by Defendant as a Deployment Manager.
- 9. On or about November 23, 2015, Plaintiff engaged in a conversation with Defendant's supervisor, Amy Shapiro, who is Jewish.
 - 10. Ms. Shapiro asked Plaintiff what she does on the weekend.

- 11. Plaintiff responded that she spends her free time going to mass and attending various church activities.
- 12. Ms. Shapiro laughed at Plaintiff and stated "I don't understand why you spend your free time in church."
- 13. Following this discussion, Plaintiff began to notice Ms. Shapiro's behavior began to change toward her.
- 14. During meetings, Plaintiff would offer her input, to which Ms. Shapiro would reply, "You don't know what you're talking about."
- 15. Outside of meetings, Ms. Shapiro would barely interact with Plaintiff or speak with her at all.
- 16. Plaintiff also observed Ms. Shapiro staring at the cross necklace that Plaintiff wears.
- 17. Plaintiff had to go out of her way to ask Ms. Shapiro to be invited to meetings and to attend her work sessions so that Ms. Cunningham would be able to better learn her job duties.
- 18. Plaintiff also heard Ms. Shapiro state on several occasions that she "did not want a Manager on her team", which was Plaintiff's role at the time.
- 19. Despite this negative treatment, Plaintiff performed her job without incident and was even asked to take on additional projects.
- 20. On or about March 15, 2016, Plaintiff was on a call with Defendant's Manager, Karl Marking, religious beliefs unknown.
- 21. During this call, Plaintiff advised Mr. Marking she would be out of the office on vacation.
 - 22. Mr. Marking asked where she was going on vacation.

- 23. Plaintiff stated she was going on a Christian mission trip with her church's youth group.
- 24. Mr. Marking laughed and stated "that doesn't sound like fun" and that he "didn't understand why [Plaintiff] would want to spend her free time at church."
- 25. Two weeks following this discussion, on or about March 29, 2016, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 26. The reasons given for Plaintiff's termination were "lack of initiative", "not performing as a manager", "confused and jumbled notes", and "poor grammar and sentence structure."
- 27. Prior to her termination, neither Ms. Shapiro nor Mr. Marking had spoken with Plaintiff to discuss any job performance issue or problem.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Ms. Shapiro and Mr. Marking reviewed her past work searching for errors to use as a basis to terminate her after both had learned of Ms. Cunningham's born again Christian faith.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Ms. Shapiro and Mr. Marking did not do the same with two other similarly-situated employees who were not open about their religious beliefs.
- 30. Upon information and belief, these two employees were not terminated while Plaintiff was.
- 31. At the time of her termination, Plaintiff had been facing hostile treatment surrounding the wearing of her cross necklace and her church activities.
- 32. Defendant's primary motivation for terminating Plaintiff was the fact that she is an openly practicing born again Christian.

- 33. At all times material hereto, Defendant was hostile toward Plaintiff's religion, and terminated her because of that animus.
 - 34. Plaintiff was the victim of disparate treatment.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff, Plaintiff sustained great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost opportunity, loss of future wages, as well emotional distress, humiliation, pain and suffering and other damages as set forth below.

III. Causes of Action.

COUNT I – VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT (43 P.S. § 955)

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-36 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 37. At all times material hereto, and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq, an employer may not discriminate against an employee based on religion.
- 38. Plaintiff is a qualified employee and person within the definition of Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq.
- 39. Defendant is Plaintiff's "employer" and thereby subject to the strictures of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq.
- 40. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff is an adverse action, motivated by her religion and constitutes a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq..
- 41. As a proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, future lost earning capacity, lost

opportunity, loss of future wages, loss of front pay, loss of back pay, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering, consequential damages and Plaintiff has also sustained work loss, loss of opportunity, and a permanent diminution of his earning power and capacity and a claim is made therefore.

- 42. As a result of the conduct of Defendant's owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
- 43. Pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq., Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

IV. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Melissa Cunningham demands judgment in her favor and against Defendant, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc. jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$50,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: back pay, front pay, past lost wages, future lost wages. Lost pay increases, lost pay incentives, lost opportunity, lost benefits, lost future earning capacity, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE, P.C.

BY: s/Graham F. Baird

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center 1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240 Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for Plaintiff, Melissa Cunningham

Date: 10/4/2018

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Melissa Cunningham

18-cv-4583

DEFENDANTS
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc. and Deloite

Tohmatsu Services, Inc.

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Confedence of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS, ON, NESSE PROBLE)

(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	Delaware County (P.	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	New York (NY)
12.0			NOTE. IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name A Graham Baird, Esq. THE LAW OFFICES OF Two Penn Center #1240,	ERIC A. SHORE		Attorneys (If Known) Rachel Fendell Sat Littler Mendelson, i 1601 Cherry Street		a, PA 19102
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	
I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases only) PT Citizen of This State		
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
(Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	06-06
IV. NATURE OF SUL					of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT	TC	RTS	SEFORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y CI 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 CI 690 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		☐ 830 Patent ☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	Act © 720 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions
J 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosuse □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Lland	☐ 44) Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting 2442 Employment	PRISONER:PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	□ 530 General		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer av/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION 2015 Application Application		State Statutes
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	er 465 Other Immigration Actions		
	L	Commenten	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	noved from 3 te Court	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. Section Brief description of ca	า 1332 _{เนรe}	re filing (<i>Do not cite jurisdictional statu</i> a Human Relations Act,	utes unless diversity)	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	DET 24 2018
DATE 10/24/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ACT	MALLY TALLSLY		~
	10UNT	APPLYING IFF	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

4583

Case 2:18-cv-04583-MMB Document 1 Filed 10/24/18 Page 17 of 18

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff Melissa Cunningham, 102 Kelly Drive, Chadds Ford, PA 19317
Address of Defendant: Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Services, Inc., 1221 6th Ave., New York, NY 10112
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:
Case Number Judge Date Terminated
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions.
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No V
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
3 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Ves No
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.
DATE 10/24/2018 P.A. 308751 Attorney-at-Law : Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I D # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only)
A Federal Question Cases. B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases.
☐ 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts ☐ 2 FELA ☐ 1 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts ☐ 2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3 Assault, Defamation
4 Antitrust
6 Labor-Management Relations 6 Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
□ 8 Habeas Corpus □ 8 Products Liability Asbestos □ 9 Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9 All_other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) Employment Civil Rights
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration)
I. A Rachel Fendell Satinsky counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs OCT 24 2018
Relief other than monetary damages is sought
DATE 10/24/2018 P.A. 308751 Attorney at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I D # (if applicable)
••

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MELISSA CUNNINGHAM	:	CIVIL ACTION	
v.	; ;	18 4	583
In accordance with the Civiplaintiff shall complete a Cafiling the complaint and services of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ATSU SERVICES, ING. OHMATSU SERVICES, INC. il Justice Expense and Delay Reduse Management Track Designation e a copy on all defendants. (See § 1 event that a defendant does not again with its first appearance, subtries, a Case Management Track Deves the case should be assigned.	NO. action Plan of this court, con Form in all civil cases at the :03 of the plan set forth on the gree with the plaintiff regard mit to the clerk of court and	unsel for e time of e reverse ling said serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAGEM	IENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 th	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a decision of a ying plaintiff Social Security Ben	the Secretary of Health efits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	tired to be designated for arbitratio	n under Local Civil Rule 53.	2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injury or p	roperty damage from	()
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or side of this form for a detailed explanation	intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into any one	e of the other tracks.	(X)
10/24118 Date 267-402-3071	Attorney-at-law 267-402-3131	Rachel Fendell Satinsky Attorney for Defenda	int
201-402-3011	207-402-3131	rsatinsky@littler.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(Ci., ((0) 10/02			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

MELISSA CUNNINGHAM